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Nitrous oxide is a convenient source of oxygen atoms for the synthesis of oxometallocenes (and the products derived therefrom) as well as for the insertion of oxygen into metal-hydrogen or -carbon bonds. ${ }^{1,2}$ Reaction of nitrous oxide with either $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}$ or $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{~V}$ gives $\left(\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Ti}\right)_{2}(\mu-\mathrm{O})^{3}$ or $\mathrm{Cp}_{5} \mathrm{~V}_{5}(\mu-\mathrm{O})_{6}{ }^{4}$ respectively. On the other hand, the pentamethylcyclopentadienyl analogues give $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ti}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{4}\right)(\mu-\mathrm{O})_{2}{ }^{5}$ or $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{5}\right)_{4} \mathrm{~V}_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{O})_{6}{ }^{6}$ respectively. Monomeric oxometallocenes, $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{MO}$ or $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{MO}$ where M is Ti or V , were neither observed nor isolated, an observation we thought curious since $\left(\mathrm{RC}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{MO}(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Mo}$ or $\mathrm{W})^{7}$ and $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{WO}^{8}$ have been isolated and $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{ZrO}$ has been generated and trapped by reactions with acetylenes. ${ }^{9}$ In this communication we show that $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{VO}$ and $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{5}\right)_{2^{-}}$ $\mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{O})(\mathrm{L})$, where L is pyridine or a substituted pyridine, can be isolated in reactions between nitrous oxide and the metallocenes and that they decompose to the known tetranuclear materials $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{5}\right)_{4} \mathrm{~V}_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{O})_{6}{ }^{6}$ and $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{5}\right)_{4} \mathrm{Ti}_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{O})_{6} .{ }^{10}$

Exposing a red hexane solution of $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~V}$ to nitrous oxide ( 4 atm ) yields a green-brown solution and a black precipitate. Green-brown crystals of $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{VO}^{11}$ may be isolated from the
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Figure 1. ORTEP diagram of $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{O})$ (4-phenylpyridine) (toluene), solvate toluene is not shown. $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{av})=2.51 \pm 0.05 \AA$ (rms deviation) $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{Cp}$ (centroid) $=2.20 \AA ; \mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{O}=1.665(3) \AA ; \mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{N}=$ $2.215(4) \AA \AA \mathrm{Cp}$ (centroid) $-\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{Cp}$ (centroid) $=135^{\circ} ; \mathrm{Cp}$ (centroid)-$\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{O}=108^{\circ} ; \mathrm{Cp}$ (centroid) $-\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{N}=103^{\circ} ; \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{N}=90.8(1)^{\circ}$.
solution in $45 \%$ yield on cooling. The black precipitate was shown to be $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{5}\right)_{4} \mathrm{~V}_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{O})_{6}$ by infrared and mass spectroscopy. ${ }^{6}$ Paramagnetic $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{VO}$ is a monomer in the mass spectrum, and the solid-state IR shows $\nu \mathrm{V}=\mathrm{O}$ at $855 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, which shifts to $820 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ on isotopic substitution ( ${ }^{18} \mathrm{O}$ ). In the solid state, the compound follows Curie behavior, since a plot of $\chi_{M^{-1}}$ is a linear function of temperature ( $5-300 \mathrm{~K}$ ) with $\mu_{\mathrm{B}}=1.95$ and $\theta=-0.49$ $K$. The EPR spectrum at room temperature in methylcyclohexane (ca. 0.1 M) consists of an eight-line pattern with $g_{i s o}=1.9844$ and $A_{\text {iso }}=25 \mathrm{G}$. Both data are in the range expected for bent metallocenes with a $3 \mathrm{~d}^{1}$ electron structure. ${ }^{12}$
Similarly, exposure of $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ti},{ }^{13 \mathrm{a}}$ or better, $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ti}-$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right){ }^{136}$ to $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}(4 \mathrm{~atm})$ in pentane yields a green insoluble material whose ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ indicates a mixture of compounds; resonances for $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{4}\right)(\mu-\mathrm{O})_{2}$ and $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ti}_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{O})_{6}$ can be identified. Since the hypothetical $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{TiO}$ has a vacant metal-based orbital of a ${ }_{1}$-symmetry (half-occupied in the case of $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{VO}$ ), we postulated that this vacancy was the source of instability of the monomeric species. If this is true, doing the reaction in presence of a two-electron donor should give ( $\left.\mathrm{Me}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{O})(\mathrm{L})$. Addition of nitrous oxide ( 1 atm ) to a solution of $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ dissolved in a $1: 10$ solution of pyridine:tetrahydrofuran results in an instantaneous color change from green to orange and production of orange crystals of $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{O})(\mathrm{py})^{14 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}}$ on cooling the solution to -80 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $59 \%$ yield. The titanium compound is diamagnetic and $\nu \mathrm{Ti}=\mathrm{O}$ is observed at $852 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, which shifts to $818 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in the $\mathrm{O}^{18}$-labeled isotopomer. The mass spectrum shows a monomeric [ $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{py}]^{+}$ion in the gas phase, see below. Using 4-phenylpyridine rather than pyridine gave orange crystals of $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{O})(4-$ phenylpyridine) upon crystallization from toluene that were suitable for an X-ray crystallographic study. ${ }^{14 c}$ An ORTEP diagram is shown in Figure 1 for the toluene solvate. ${ }^{15}$ The geometry at the titanium center is similar to that found in $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{TiCl}_{2},{ }^{16}$ the principal difference being that the averaged $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{C}$ distances in the titanyl are longer ( $2.51 \pm 0.05$ vs $2.44 \pm$
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$0.02 \AA$ ). The spread in the individual distances is wider, presumably reflecting greater steric congestion about the titanyl center. The $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{O}$ bond distance of $1.665(3) \AA$ is in the range (1.61-1.68 $\AA$ ) found in six structures of titanyl groups with vastly different ligands. ${ }^{17}$

The decomposition of the monomeric oxometallocenes is not necessarily a simple event since four $\mathrm{M}=0$ units generate the $\mathrm{M}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ units with loss of four $\mathrm{Me}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{5}$ groups; the extra two oxygen atoms could arise from two additional $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{O}$ units as illustrated in eqs 1 and 2 or from advantitious water or oxygen, $\mathrm{Cp}_{2}{ }^{*} \mathrm{MO}$ being replaced by $O$ in eq 2 .

$$
\begin{gather*}
4 \mathrm{Cp}_{2}^{*} \mathrm{MO} \rightarrow(\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{MO})_{4}+4 \mathrm{Cp}^{*}  \tag{1}\\
2 \mathrm{Cp}_{2} * \mathrm{MO}+(\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{MO})_{4} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cp}_{4}^{*} \mathrm{M}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}+2 \mathrm{Cp}_{2} * \mathrm{M} \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

In order to address this question we isolated the ${ }^{18} \mathrm{O}$-labeled compounds $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~V}\left({ }^{18} \mathrm{O}\right)$ or $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ti}\left({ }^{18} \mathrm{O}\right)(\mathrm{py})$ and allowed them to decompose to $\left.\left(\mathrm{Me}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{5}\right)_{4} \mathrm{~V}_{4}{ }^{(18} \mathrm{O}\right)_{x}\left({ }^{16} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6-x}$ at room temperature or $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{5}\right)_{4} \mathrm{Ti}_{4}\left({ }^{18} \mathrm{O}\right)_{x}\left({ }^{16} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6-x}$ at higher temperature. The tetranuclear compounds were analyzed by electron-ionization mass spectroscopy, as the unlabeled compounds yield molecular ions. Figure 2a shows the mass spectrum of unlabeled $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{5}\right)_{4}$ $\mathrm{Ti}_{4}(\mathrm{O})_{6}$. The bar graphs represent the experimental intensities (shaded) and the calculated intensities (unshaded). Inspection shows that the calculated spectrum matches the experimental spectrum closely. The mass spectrum of ( $\left.\mathrm{Me}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{5}\right)_{2}-$ $\mathrm{Ti}\left({ }^{18} \mathrm{O}\right)_{x}\left({ }^{16} \mathrm{O}\right)_{1-x}(\mathrm{py})$ shows a molecular ion for $\left[\left(\mathrm{Me}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{5}\right)_{2^{-}}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{Ti}\left({ }^{18} \mathrm{O}\right)_{x}\left({ }^{16} \mathrm{O}\right)_{1-x}\right]^{+}$from which the isotopic ratio of ${ }^{18} \mathrm{O}:{ }^{16} \mathrm{O}$ was determined to be $0.60: 0.40$, i.e., $x=0.60$. The decomposition of this mixed isotopomer gives the tetranuclear species whose experimental and calculated mass spectra are illustrated in Figure 2 b . The calculated spectrum was derived by assuming that all of the oxygen atoms are exclusively derived from the monomer of isotopic composition ${ }^{18} \mathrm{O}:{ }^{16} \mathrm{O}=0.60: 0.40$ rather than from some other source of ${ }^{16} \mathrm{O}$ atoms. ${ }^{18}$ Inspection shows that, under this assumption, the experimental and calculated mass spectra match very well. This is consistent with, but does not prove, our assumption that the assembly of the $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{5}\right)_{4} \mathrm{Ti}_{4}(\mathrm{O})_{6}$ occurs predominantly from $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{TiO}$. A similar conclusion can be reached for the decomposition of $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~V}\left({ }^{18} \mathrm{O}_{0.76}\right)\left({ }^{16} \mathrm{O}_{0.24}\right)$ to $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{5}\right)_{4} \mathrm{~V}_{4}\left[\left({ }^{18} \mathrm{O}_{0.76}\right)\left({ }^{16} \mathrm{O}_{0.24}\right)\right]_{6}{ }^{18}$ We conclude that the pro-
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Figure 2. (a) Spectrum for $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{5}\right)_{4} \mathrm{Ti}_{4}(\mathrm{O})$. (b) Spectrum for $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{5}\right)_{4}$ $\mathrm{Ti}_{4}\left({ }^{18} \mathrm{O}:{ }^{16} \mathrm{O}=0.60: 0.40\right)_{6}$.
longed incubation times used by Bottomley ${ }^{6}$ preclude isolation of monomeric $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{VO}$ and that the titanyl analogue can be stabilized by use of a two-electron $\sigma$-donor Lewis base.
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